

Interview Summary

Application No. 08/286,189	Applicant(s) Sanhueza et al.	
Examiner Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.	Group Art Unit 1648	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D. (3) Reza Yacoob
(2) Michael I. Stewart (Reg. No. 24,973) (4) CHRIS DAHLING

Date of Interview 26 Aug 1999

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending claims

Identification of prior art discussed:

prior art of record.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:


Applicant's representative discussed the arguments raised in the appeal brief filed 12/22/98. Applicant's representative were advised that the prior art would be reviewed in terms of vague models and would eventually attempt a appropriate response will be forthcoming.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.


JEFFREY S. PARKIN, PH.D.
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1648

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.